Predictors of outcome in a HLA incompatible renal transplant cohort

> Tineke Rennie Transplant fellow Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh





## HLA incompatible kidney transplantation

An option for highly sensitised patients

Wide variability in
Definition of HLA incompatibility
Experience of HLAi transplants in UK transplant centres
Immunosuppression protocols

Establishing the optimal treatment regimen and minimising the risk of adverse outcomes remains a challenge

#### Recipient and transplant characteristics

	N=30			N=30
Age; years (mean ± SD)	45 ± 11.2	Cumulative MFI (mean ± SD)		
Female gender n (%)	17 (57)	At time of transplant		4221 (± 68
Previous transplant n (%)	21 (70)		Peak IVIFI	9011 (± 12
Live donor n (%)	13 (43)	Current DSA n (%)	4	22 (73)
Plasma exchange desensitisation n (%)	12 (40)	HLA mismatch n (%) $1-2$		9 (30) 2 (7)
Induction agent n (%)			Any DR	19 (63)
IL-2 antagonist	11 (37) 19 (63)	X-match positive n (%)	CDC	0
Lymphocyte depleting			B FC XM	17 (57)
			T FC XM	10 (33)
			Any FC XM	19 (68)

#### Positive flow cytometry XM is associated with rejection

Mean follow up duration: 2.5 years



## B-cell positive FCXM increases risk of rejection





	Rejection free	
	1 year	
B CFXM NEG	57%	
B CFXM POS	19%	

## Induction agent and risk of rejection



# Effect of AMR on graft function



# Graft survival



#### HLA incompatible kidney transplant in Edinburgh

B cell positive flow cytometry crossmatch predicts early AMR

- Risk of rejection within the first year post transplant: 65%
- Increased risk of rejection following induction with IL-2R antagonists compared to Lymphocyte depleting agents

However:

Mean eGFR 53ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> at 1 year

eGFR inferior in those that developed AMR compared to those who did not

- 1 year graft survival 89%
- 1 year patient survival: 96%

#### BFCXM and Induction therapy; risk of AMR

