



Roy Calne Award

Score sheets for Judges



	CANDIDATE	TITLE OF PAPER	RANK <small>Where 1 is best</small>	JUDGES COMMENTS
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				

Guidance for judging the Roy Calne Award

1. The award honours the substantial contribution made by Roy Calne to the Surgery and Science of Transplantation.
2. The candidate must be the first (or joint first) author of the paper submitted.
3. The papers should be ranked such that the best paper is ranked 1, the next best 2 and so on. If the judges consider two papers tied first, they will be ranked 1.5, and so on. In allocating rankings the judges are asked to consider the papers in terms of the following criteria: interest; quality; originality; value to transplantation; the personal contribution of the candidate to the paper.
4. Each paper will be considered on its own merit.

A candidate who has won the award before will be allowed to compete on equal terms with all the other candidates, provided that the work presented is significantly different from the previous piece of work.

Similarly, a candidate from a laboratory that has won the award in the recent past should not be disadvantaged, provided that the work presented is significantly different from the previous piece of work. A candidate who narrowly missed out on previous occasions, or competed unsuccessfully in the Medawar session should neither be advantaged nor disadvantaged.